

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/765,442	01/27/2004	Joseph Bobier	P031696-08UT	1543		
75	90 02/01/2005		EXAMINER			
Dennis L. Cook			BOCURE, TESFALDET			
10004 Marathon Court Tampa, FL 33615			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
F 17			2631			
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/765,	442	BOBIER ET AL.	BOBIER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		Tesfalde	et Bocure.	2631			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess		
Period fo	• •		_				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. O) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a rej tatutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT polication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comn NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>27 Januar</i> v 20	004.				
<i>,</i> —	,	2b)⊠ This action is					
<i>'</i> —							
,							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
′	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.		•		
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
, —	Applicant may not request that any object	•	· -	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	•		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority						
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	•	eceived in this National Sta	age		
	application from the Internatio	•			•		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cei	runea copies not r	eceivea.			
Attachment			A) [] -tt	mmon/ (DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		· —	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date		6)	_•	•		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii (US patent number 5,789,991).

Ishii teaches a transmission system having a transmitter (fig.3) and receiver (figs 6-9 and 11-13), wherein the transmitter comprising: a carrier frequency generator (see OSC in fig. 3 as in claim 5) for generating carrier wavelets each defined by 360 degrees and each cycle having zero crossing with zero energy at each zero crossing (see each of the sine waves modulated by the binary signals in fig. 5); and modulating the carrier frequency according to the information signal to be transmitted, and each of the carrier modulated with the o's and 1' having a corresponding high and low frequency carrier, claimed altered and not altered respectively (see for example col. 1, lines 10-37) as in claims 1,3,5,9 and 12; and transmitting (claimed broadcasting in claim 3) the frequency shift keying modulated signal as in claims 1,3 and 5.

The claimed integer cycle in claim 3 includes whole number of cycles and reads on the modulated carriers in figure 5.

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2,4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii (US patent number 5,789,991) in view of Soh (Patent Application Publication US 2002/0196865).

Ishii teaches the claimed subject matter in claim 1 as indicated above.

Further, Ishii also teaches that the FSK modulated carriers are modulated for further band limiting the carriers (see BPF in fig.3).

Art Unit: 2631

What Ishii fails to teach is that the filtering device, BPF, for reducing any of the harmonics associated with the carrier frequency (claimed wavelets altered).

Soh for the same endeavor the instant application and that of Ishii teaches a transmitter for transmitting a frequency shift modulated signal using a single cycle for every bit (figures 2 and 3) having a waveform shaping circuit for shaping the harmonic of the lower frequency square waveforms as in claims 2,4 and 6.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to shape the lower harmonic of the carrier frequency so that the distortion associated with lower harmonic can be eliminated at the time the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-11 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishi Ishii (US patent number 5,789,991) in view of Reichman et al. (US Patent number 6,240,073) and Soh (Patent Application Publication US 2002/0196865).

Ishii teaches a transmission system having a transmitter (fig.3) and receiver (figs 6-9 and 11-13) wherein the transmitter comprising: a carrier frequency generator (see OSC in fig. 3 as in claim 5) for generating carrier wavelets each defined by 360 degrees

Art Unit: 2631

and each cycle having zero crossing with zero energy at each zero crossing (see each of the sine waves modulated by the binary signals in fig. 5); and modulating the carrier frequency according to the information signal to be transmitted, and each of the carrier modulated with the o's and 1' having a corresponding high and low frequency carrier, claimed altered and not altered respectively (see for example col. 1, lines 10-37) as in claims 1,3,5,9 and 12; and transmitting (claimed broadcasting) the frequency shift keying modulated signal as in claims,9 and 12.

The claimed integer cycle in claims 9 and 12 includes whole number of cycles and reads on the modulated carriers in figure 5.

Further to claims 9 and 12, Ishii also teaches that the receiver (see figures 11 and 12) for receiving the transmitted RF signal and reconstructing the original information.

Further to claim

However he fails to teach that:

the received FSK signal is down converted to IF signal as in claims 9 and 12; and

that the broadcasted signal being TDMA and FDMA as in claims 7,8,10,11,16 and 17.

Reichman for the same endeavor as the instant application and that of Ishii, teaches a transmission system capable of transmitting and receiving TDMA and FDMA signal using an FSK modulation (see col. Lines –68), where in the receiver receiving the

Art Unit: 2631

transmitted RF signal and down convert the signal to Intermediate Frequency (see front end down converter 224 in fig. 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to use the down converter of Reichman in the receiver of Ishii to down convert the RF transmitted signal of Ishii to IF and broadcast the FSK modulated signals using TDMA or FDMA at the time the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishi Ishii (US patent number 5,789,991) in view of Reichman et al. (US Patent number 6,240,073) and .

Ishii and Reichman teach the claimed subject matter in claim 12 as indicated above with respect to art rejection of claim 12.

Further, Ishii also teaches that the FSK modulated carriers are modulated for further band limiting the carriers (see BPF in fig.3).

What Ishii and Reichman fail to teach is that the filtering device, BPF, for reducing any of the harmonics associated with the carrier frequency (claimed wavelets altered).

Art Unit: 2631

Soh for the same endeavor the instant application and that of Ishii teaches a transmitter for transmitting a frequency shift modulated signal using a single cycle for every bit (figures 2 and 3) having a waveform shaping circuit for shaping the harmonic of the lower frequency square waveforms as in claims 13 and 14.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to shape the lower harmonic of the carrier frequency so that the distortion associated with lower harmonic can be eliminated at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent numbers 2,677,015, 3,499,995, 3,518,552 and 3,803,354 issued to Hausman, Clark, Carlow and Bennett respectively disclose a transmission system for transmitting and receiving a single cycle frequency modulated signal.

US patent publication number US 2002/00584848 disclose a transmission system for transmitting and receiving a single cycle amplitude modulated signal.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (571) 272-3015. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

Art Unit: 2631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Bocure